

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER REGARDING  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS CASES  
FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH  
AMENDED PTO 10

This Document Relates to:

*A.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07699-CRB

*A.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07091-CRB

*A.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07668-CRB

~~*A.P. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07148-CRB~~

*C.F. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07698-CRB

*CLF T.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-04281-CRB

*D.V. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07747-CRB

*J.K.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07734-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 618 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06160-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 619 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06243-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 620 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06249-CRB

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO  
DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AMENDED PTO 10

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 621 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06297-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 622 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06306-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 625 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06539-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 626 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06541-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 627 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06547-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 628 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06552-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 630 v. Uber Technologies Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06861

*Jane Doe LS 633 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07285-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 634 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07323-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 639 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07616-CRB

*Jane Doe LS 640 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07628-CRB

~~*Jane Doe LS 641 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07844-CRB~~

*Jane Doe LS 642 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07894-CRB

*Jane Doe NLG (A.D.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07407-CRB

~~*Jane Doe NLG (A.H.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07400-CRB~~

~~*Jane Doe NLG (D.L.) v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-07478-CRB~~

1 *Jane Doe NLG (J.D.) v. Uber*  
 2 *Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-  
 07482-CRB

3 *Jane Doe NLG (J.L.) v. Uber*  
 4 *Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-  
 07545-CRB

5 *Jane Doe NLG (L.F.) v. Uber*  
 6 *Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-  
 07542-CRB

7 ~~*Jane Doe NLG (L.R.) v. Uber*~~  
 8 ~~*Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-~~  
 07423-CRB

9 *Jane Doe NLG (N.T.) v. Uber*  
 10 *Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-  
 07540-CRB

11 *Jane Doe NLG (R.H.) v. Uber*  
 12 *Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-  
 07403-CRB

13 ~~*Jane Doe NLG (S.M.) v. Uber*~~  
 14 ~~*Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-~~  
 07543-CRB

15 *Jane Doe NLG (T.P.) v. Uber*  
 16 *Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-  
 07484-CRB

17 *Jane Doe NLG (V.M.) v. Uber*  
 18 *Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-  
 07467-CRB

19 ~~*Jane Doe NLG 2 (M.P.) v. Uber*~~  
 20 ~~*Technologies, Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-~~  
 07312-CRB

21 *Jane Roe CL 192 v. Uber Technologies,*  
 22 *Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06608-CRB

23 ~~*Jane Roe CL 195 v. Uber Technologies,*~~  
 24 ~~*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06735-CRB~~

25 ~~*Jane Roe CL 198 v. Uber Technologies,*~~  
 26 ~~*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06822-CRB~~

27 *Jane Roe CL 201 v. Uber Technologies,*  
*Inc., et al.*, No. 3:25-cv-06826-CRB

1 *Jane Roe CL 202 v. Uber Technologies,*  
2 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07377-CRB*

3 ~~*Jane Roe CL 203 v. Uber Technologies,*~~  
4 ~~*Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07379-CRB*~~

5 *Jane Roe CL 204 v. Uber Technologies,*  
6 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07472-CRB*

7 ~~*Jane Roe CL 205 v. Uber Technologies,*~~  
8 ~~*Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07763-CRB*~~

9 *John Doe LS 15 v. Uber Technologies,*  
10 *Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07862-CRB*

11 ~~*John Roe CL 8 v. Uber Technologies,*~~  
12 ~~*Inc., et al., No. 3:25-cv-07768-CRB*~~

13 *K. H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
14 *No. 3:25-cv-07700-CRB*

15 *M.T. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
16 *No. 3:25-cv-07831-CRB*

17 *M.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
18 *No. 3:25-cv-07753-CRB*

19 *R.N. 02 v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et*  
20 *al., No. 3:25-cv-07097-CRB*

21 *S.S. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
22 *No. 3:25-cv-07149-CRB*

23 *S.W. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.,*  
24 *No. 3:25-cv-07695-CRB*

25 *T.K. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al., No.*  
26 *3:25-cv-06734-CRB*

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER**


Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Cases for Failure to Comply with Amended PTO 10 (the "Motion"), the Court finds that the Plaintiffs subject to Defendants' Motion, identified in Exhibit A hereto, have violated Amended Pretrial Order No. 10 and caused prejudice to Uber.

The Court therefore hereby ORDERS as follows:

1. The claims of the Plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A to this Order are DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE pursuant to Amended PTO No. 10 (ECF No. 4287).
2. Plaintiffs' counsel shall file notices of dismissal within 14 days of this Order.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: January 12, 2026



**EXHIBIT A**

MDL ID	Case Number
3976	3:25-cv-07699
3877	3:25-cv-07091
3692	3:25-cv-07668
<del>3908</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07148</del>
3975	3:25-cv-07698
3487	3:25-cv-04281
3994	3:25-cv-07747
3992	3:25-cv-07734
3786	3:25-cv-06160
3791	3:25-cv-06243
3790	3:25-cv-06249
3792	3:25-cv-06297
3793	3:25-cv-06306
3823	3:25-cv-06539
3828	3:25-cv-06541
3824	3:25-cv-06547
3825	3:25-cv-06552
3914	3:25-cv-06861
3920	3:25-cv-07285
3968	3:25-cv-07323
3972	3:25-cv-07616
3973	3:25-cv-07628
<del>4058</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07844</del>
4055	3:25-cv-07894
3950	3:25-cv-07407
<del>3948</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07400</del>
<del>3953</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07478</del>
3954	3:25-cv-07482
3959	3:25-cv-07545
3957	3:25-cv-07542
<del>3952</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07423</del>
3956	3:25-cv-07540
3949	3:25-cv-07403
<del>3958</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07543</del>
3955	3:25-cv-07484

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER REGARDING MOTION TO  
DISMISS CASES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AMENDED PTO 10

MDL ID	Case Number
3951	3:25-cv-07467
<del>3947</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07312</del>
3896	3:25-cv-06608
<del>3899</del>	<del>3:25-cv-06735</del>
<del>3902</del>	<del>3:25-cv-06822</del>
3905	3:25-cv-06826
4042	3:25-cv-07377
<del>4043</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07379</del>
4044	3:25-cv-07472
<del>4045</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07763</del>
4059	3:25-cv-07862
<del>4046</del>	<del>3:25-cv-07768</del>
3977	3:25-cv-07700
4001	3:25-cv-07831
3995	3:25-cv-07753
3879	3:25-cv-07097
3909	3:25-cv-07149
3974	3:25-cv-07695
3843	3:25-cv-06734